1 2	Paul T. Cullen, Esq. SBN 193575 THE CULLEN LAW FIRM, APC 19360 Rinaldi Street, Box 647	
3	Porter Ranch, CA 91326 Tel: (818) 360-2529	
4	Fax: (866) 794-5741 Email: paul@cullenlegal.com	
5	Lead Counsel and Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
6	DAVID BACKHAUS, ANDREA MERINO LOI AND LUSINE NALBANDIAN, as individuals a behalf of all others similarly-situated	
7	[ADDITIONAL COUNSEL LISTED ON PAC	GE 2]
8		
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF TH	E STATE OF CALIFORNIA
10	COUNTY OF I	LOS ANGELES
11	DAVID BACKHAUS, ANDREA MERINO LOPEZ, LUSINE NALBANDIAN,	CASE NO.: 19STCV24251 [Consolidated with Case Nos.
12	TALEENA PELAYO, NARCISO CHAN SOSA and ANTONIO LEIRIA individuals, on	19STCV31136 and 20STCV36405]
13 14	behalf of themselves, all others similarly situated, and the general public,	[Assigned for all purposes to the Hon. Ann I. Jones, Dept. SSC-11]
15	Plaintiffs,	CLASS ACTION
16	v. THE LANGHAM HUNTINGTON HOTEL	NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER GRANTING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL
17	AND SPA, a business entity of unknown form; LANGHAM HOTELS PACIFIC	Consol. Complaint Filed: Mar. 15, 2021
18	CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation; PACIFIC LANGHAM SERVICES	Consol. Complaint Flied. War. 13, 2021
19	CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation; GREAT EAGLE HOLDINGS, a California	
20	corporation and DOES 1 to 10, inclusive,	
21	Defendants.	
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		

1	Additional Plaintiffs' Counsel:
2	Donald Potter, SBN 192735
3	dp@donpotterlaw.com LAW OFFICE OF DONALD POTTER
4	444 Huntington Drive, Suite 215 Arcadia, California 91006 Talanhara (26.744.1555)
5	Telephone: 626.744.1555 Facsimile: 626.389.0592
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff TALEENA PELAYO, an individual and on behalf of all others similarly-situated.
7	Anthony J. Orshansky (SBN 199364)
8	anthony@counselonegroup.com Justin Kachadoorian (SBN 260356)
9	justin@counselonegroup.com COUNSELONE, PC
10	9301 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 650 Beverly Hills, California 90210
11	Telephone: (310) 277-9945 Facsimile: (424) 277-3727
12	
13	Attorneys for Plaintiffs Narciso Chan Sosa and Antonio Leiria, on behalf of themselves and others similarly situated
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	- 2 -

	TO ALL DADELES AND THEN CONTROL OF DECORD A 1 1 1 1	
1	TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR COUNSEL OF RECORD, please take notice that or August 17, 2023, the Court granted Plaintiffs' motion for preliminary approval. The Court's ruling is set forth in the ORDER GRANTING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS AND	
2		
3		
4	REPRESENTATIVE ACTION SETTLEMENT, which is attached hereto as Exhibit A.	
5	Dated: August 26, 2023 THE CULLEN LAW FIRM, APC	
6	Dated. August 20, 2023	
7	By:	
8	Paul T. Cullen	
9	Lead Counsel and Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
10	David Backhaus, Andrea Merino Lopez, and Lusine Nalbandian, as individuals and on	
11	behalf of the putative class	
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	- 3 -	

EXHIBIT A TO NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER GRANTING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL

Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles 08/17/2023 1 Paul T. Cullen (SBN 193575) paul@cullenlegal.com David W. Slayton, Executive Officer / Clerk of Court THE CULLEN LAW FIRM, APC 2 T. Lewis 19360 Rinaldi Street, Box 647 Electronically Received 08/15/2023 02:01 PM Porter Ranch, California 91326 3 Telephone: (818) 360-2529 Facsimile: (866) 794-5741 4 Attorneys for Plaintiffs 5 DAVID BACKHAUS, ANDREA MERINO LOPEZ and LUSINE NALBANDIAN, individually on behalf of 6 themselves, and all others similarly situated, and the 7 general public [ADDITIONAL COUNSEL LISTED ON NEXT PAGE] 8 9 10 11 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 12 13 DAVID BACKHAUS, ANDREA MERINO Case No.: 19STCV24251 14 [Consolidated with Case Nos. 19STCV31136] LOPEZ, LUSINE NALBANDIAN, and 20STCV36405] 15 TALEENA PELAYO, NARCISO CHAN SOSA, and ANTONIO LEIRIA, individuals, 16 Assigned for all purposes to the on behalf of themselves, all others similarly Hon. David S. Cunningham, Dept. SSC-11 situated, and the general public, 17 [THIRD REVISED PROPOSED] ORDER Plaintiffs, 18 GRANTING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS AND REPRESENTATIVE ACTION 19 VS. **SETTLEMENT** 20 THE LANGHAM HUNTINGTON HOTEL Preliminary Approval Hearing AND SPA, a business entity of unknown form; 21 Date: July 24, 2023 LANGHAM HOTELS PACIFIC Time: 10:00 a.m. 22 CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation; Dept: SSC-11 PACIFIC LANGHAM SERVICES 23 CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation; GREAT EAGLE HOLDINGS, a California 24 corporation; and DOES 1 to 10, inclusive, 25 26 Defendants.

27

28

Deputy

[THIRD REVISED PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS AND REPRESENTATIVE ACTION SETTLEMENT EXHIBIT A TO NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER GRANTING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL, P. 1

1	Donald Potter (SBN 192735)
2	dp@donpotterlaw.com LAW OFFICE OF DONALD POTTER
3	155 North Lake Avenue, Suite 800 Pasadena, California 91101
4	Telephone: (626) 744-1555 Facsimile: (626) 389-0592
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff TALEENA PELAYO, an individual
6	and on behalf of all others similarly situated
7	Anthony J. Orshansky (SBN 1999634)
8	anthony@counselonegroup.com Justin Kachadoorian (SBN 260356)
9	justin@counselonegroup.com COUNSELONE, PC
10	9301 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 650 Beverly Hills, California 90210
11	Telephone: (310) 277-9945 Facsimile: (424) 277-3727
12	Attorneys for Plaintiffs NARCISO CHAN SOSA and ANTONIO
13	LEIRIA, on behalf of themselves and others similarly situated
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	ITHIDD DEVICED DOODOGED! ODDED CDANTING DDEI IMINADV

APPROVAL OF CLASS AND REPRESENTATIVE ACTION SETTLEMENT

EXHIBIT A TO NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER GRANTING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL, P. 2

This matter has come before the Honorable David S. Cunningham in Department 11 of the Superior Court of the State of California, for the County of Los Angeles, on July 24, 2023 for Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class and Representative Action Settlement. The Law Office of Donald Potter appeared as counsel for plaintiffs David Backhaus, Andrea Merino Lopez, Lusine Nalbandian, Taleena Pelayo, Narciso Chan Sosa, and Antonio Leiria (collectively, "Plaintiffs"), individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, and Baker & Hostetler LLP appeared as counsel for defendant Langham Hotels Pacific Corporation ("Defendant"). The Court, having carefully considered the papers, argument of counsel, and all matters presented to the Court, and good cause appearing, hereby GRANTS Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Approval.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

- 1. The Court preliminarily approves the Class Action and PAGA Settlement Agreement ("Settlement Agreement"), attached as **EXHIBIT 1** to the Declaration of Paul T. Cullen in Support of Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class and Representative Action Settlement filed on July 25, 2023, including the attorney fee award not to exceed 33.33% of the Gross settlement (i.e., \$649,935) and Class Representative Service Payments not to exceed \$5,000 for each plaintiff. The Court finds that the settlement falls within the range of possible approval as fair, adequate, and reasonable.
- 2. This Order incorporates by reference the definitions in the Settlement Agreement, and all capitalized terms defined therein shall have the same meaning in this Order as set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
- 3. The Court preliminarily finds that the settlement is fair, adequate and reasonable. It appears to the Court that extensive investigation and research have been conducted such that counsel for the Parties at this time are able to reasonably evaluate their respective positions.

 Additionally, the Court finds that the settlement, at this time, will avoid substantial additional costs by all Parties, as well as avoid the delay and risks that would be presented by the further prosecution of the case. It further appears that the settlement has been reached as the result of intensive, serious and non-collusive, arm's-length negotiations, and was entered into in good faith.

- 4. The Court preliminarily finds that the settlement, including the allocations for the Individual Class Payments, Individual PAGA Payments, LWDA PAGA Payment, Administration Expenses Payment, Fee Award, Class Counsel Litigation Expenses Payment, and Class Representative Service Payments provided thereby appear to be within the range of reasonableness of a settlement that could ultimately be given final approval by this Court. Indeed, the Court has reviewed the monetary recovery that is being granted as part of the settlement and preliminarily finds that the monetary settlement awards made available to the Class Members and Aggrieved Employees are fair, adequate, and reasonable when balanced against the probable outcome of further litigation relating to certification, liability, and damages issues.
- 5. The Court concludes that, for settlement purposes only, the proposed Class meets the requirements for certification under section 382 of the California Code of Civil Procedure in that:

 (a) the Class is ascertainable and so numerous that joinder of all members of the Class is impracticable; (b) common questions of law and fact predominate, and there is a well-defined community of interest amongst the members of the Class with respect to the subject matter of the litigation; (c) Plaintiffs' claims are typical of the claims of the members of the Class; (d) Plaintiffs will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the members of the Class; (e) a class action is superior to other available methods for the efficient adjudication of the controversy; and (f) Class Counsel are qualified to act as counsel for Plaintiffs in their individual capacities and as the representatives of the Class.
- 6. The Court conditionally certifies, for settlement purposes only, the Class, defined as follows:

All current and former hourly paid or non-exempt employees who have worked for the Defendant in the State of California at any time from July 12, 2015 through April 16, 2023.

7. The Court provisionally appoints The Cullen Law Firm, APC, CounselOne, PC, and Law Office of Donald Potter as counsel for the Class ("Class Counsel").

///

26

27

28

- 8. The Court provisionally appoints David Backhaus, Andrea Merino Lopez, Lusine Nalbandian, Taleena Pelayo, Narciso Chan Sosa, and Antonio Leiria as the representatives of the Class (collectively, "Class Representatives").
- 9. The Court provisionally appoints CPT Group Inc. ("CPT") to handle the administration of the settlement ("Administrator").
- 10. Within thirty (30) calendar days after the Court grants Preliminary Approval, Defendant shall provide the Administrator with the following information about each Class Member: full name, last-known mailing address, Social Security number, and number of Class Period Workweeks and PAGA Pay Periods as an hourly paid or non-exempt employee ("Class Data") in conformity with the Settlement Agreement.
- 11. The Court approves, both as to form and content, the Court Approved Notice of Class Action Settlement and Hearing Date for Final Court Approval ("Class Notice") attached to the Settlement Agreement as **EXHIBIT A**. The Class Notice shall be provided to Class Members and Aggrieved Employees in the manner set forth in the Settlement Agreement. The Court finds that the Class Notice appears to fully and accurately inform the Class Members and Aggrieved Employees of all material elements of the Settlement Agreement, of Class Members' right to be excluded from the class settlement by submitting a Request for Exclusion to the Administrator, of Class Members' and Aggrieved Employees right to dispute the Workweeks and PAGA Pay Periods credited to each of them, and of each Participating Class Member's right and opportunity to object to the class settlement. The Court further finds that distribution of the Class Notice substantially in the manner and form set forth in the Settlement Agreement and this Order, and that all other dates set forth in the Settlement Agreement and this Order, meet the requirements of due process and shall constitute due and sufficient notice to all persons entitled thereto. The Court further orders the Administrator to mail the Class Notice by first class U.S. Mail to all Class Members and Aggrieved Employees within fourteen (14) calendar days of receiving the Class Data, pursuant to the terms set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
- 12. The Court hereby preliminarily approves the proposed procedure, set forth in the Settlement Agreement, for seeking exclusion from the class settlement. Class Members who wish to

be excluded from the class settlement must send to the Administrator, by fax, email, or mail, a signed written Request for Exclusion, no later than the Response Deadline. The Response Deadline shall be sixty (60) days after the Administrator initially mails the Class Notice to Class Members, or the extended deadline for Class Members to whom the Class Notice was remailed, as set forth in the Settlement Agreement. The Administrator shall accept any Request for Exclusion as valid if the Administrator can reasonably ascertain the identity of the person as a Class Member and the Class Member's desire to be excluded. Any such person who chooses to opt out of, and be excluded from, the class settlement will not be entitled to recovery under the class settlement and will not have any right to object, appeal, or comment on the settlement. Class Members who have not submitted a timely and valid Request for Exclusion (i.e., Participating Class Members) shall be bound by the Settlement Agreement and any final judgment based thereon. Class Members employed by Defendant during the PAGA Period ("Aggrieved Employees"), whether or not they submit a request for exclusion, will receive an Individual PAGA Payment and will no longer be able to seek penalties under the PAGA (codified in California Labor Code §§ 2698, et seq.), during the PAGA Period, for any and all claims for PAGA civil penalties that are asserted in the operative Complaint or which could have been asserted based on the factual allegations in the operative Complaint and in Plaintiffs' respective PAGA Notices.

- 13. To object to the class settlement, Participating Class Members may send written objections to the Administrator, by fax, email, or mail, on or before the Response Deadline, which is sixty (60) days after the Class Notice is initially mailed to Class Members, or by an extended deadline for Class Members to whom the Class Notice was remailed, as set forth in the Settlement Agreement. In the alternative, Participating Class Members may appear in Court (or hire an attorney to appear in Court) to present verbal objections at the Final Approval Hearing.
- 14. A Final Approval Hearing shall be held before this Court on November 16, 2023 at 10:00 a.m. in Department 11 of the Los Angeles County Superior Court, located at 312 N. Spring Street, Los Angeles, California 90012, to determine all necessary matters concerning the settlement, including: whether the proposed settlement of the action on the terms and conditions provided for in the Settlement Agreement is fair, adequate, and reasonable and should be finally

approved by the Court; whether a judgment, as provided in the Settlement Agreement, should be entered herein; whether the plan of allocation contained in the Settlement Agreement should be approved as fair, adequate, and reasonable to Participating Class Members and Aggrieved Employees; and whether to finally approve the Administration Expenses Payment, Fee Award, Class Counsel Litigation Expenses Payment, and Class Representative Service Payments.

- 15. The deadline for Class Counsel to file the Motion for Final Approval is sixteen (16) court days prior to the Final Approval Hearing. Class Counsel shall also submit a Proposed Final Approval Order and Judgment, with a timeline to file a final report summarizing all distributions made pursuant to the settlement, supported by declaration.
- 16. For any Participating Class Member whose Individual Class Payment check is uncashed and cancelled after the void date, and for any Aggrieved Employee whose Individual PAGA Payment check is uncashed and cancelled after the void date, the Administrator shall transmit the funds represented by such checks to the Controller's Unclaimed Property Fund, in the name of the Participating Class Member and/or Aggrieved Employee, thereby leaving no "unpaid residue" subject to the requirements of California Code of Civil Procedure § 384(b).
- 17. Except as required to implement the settlement, all proceedings and all litigation of the action are stayed pending the Final Approval Hearing.
- 18. The settlement is not a concession or admission and shall not be used against Defendant as an admission or indication with respect to any claim of any fault or omission by Defendant. Whether or not the settlement is finally approved, neither the settlement, nor any document, statement, proceeding or conduct related to the settlement, nor any reports or accounts thereof, shall in any event be construed as, offered or admitted into evidence as, received as or deemed to be in evidence for any purpose adverse to the Defendant, including, but not limited to, evidence of a presumption, concession, indication or admission by Defendant of any liability, fault, wrongdoing, omission, concession, or damage, except for legal proceedings concerning the implementation, interpretation, or enforcement of the Settlement Agreement.
- 19. In the event the settlement does not become effective in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement, or the settlement is not finally approved, or is terminated, cancelled, or

1	fails to become effective for any reason, this Order shall be rendered null and void, shall be vacated
2	and the Parties shall revert back to their respective positions as of before entering into the Settlemen
3	Agreement.
4	20. The Court reserves the right to adjourn or continue the date of the Final Approva
5	Hearing and any dates provided for in the Settlement Agreement without further notice to the Class
6	Members and retains jurisdiction to consider all further applications arising out of or connected with
7	the settlement.
8	aikl F
9	Dated: Hon. David S. Cunningham
10	Judge of the Superior Court
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

PROOF OF SERVICE

I am employed in Los Angeles County, California. I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within-entitled action. My business address is 9800 Topanga Canyon Boulevard, Suite D, PMB 325, Chatsworth, CA 91311-4057. On August 15, 2023, I served a copy of the within document(s):

[THIRD REVISED PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS AND REPRESENTATIVE ACTION SETTLEMENT

	by placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, the United States mail at Los Angeles, California addressed as set forth below. I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.
	by placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope and affixing a pre-paid air bill in the care and custody of Nationwide Legal , and causing the envelope to be delivered to a Nationwide Legal agent for delivery on the next business day.
	by placing document(s) listed above in the care and custody of Ace Attorney Services for personal delivery to the person(s) at the address(es) set forth below. Proof of service to be filed after completion of service.
V	by electronic service via the Case Anywhere the document(s) listed above to the person(s) at the e-mail address(es) set forth below.
Nich	rina L. Shadi, Esq. Attorneys for Defendant LANGHAM HOTELS PACIFIC CORPORATION CORPORATION

sshadi@bakerlaw.com npoper@bakerlaw.com

Donald Potter, Esq. LAW OFFICE OF DONALD **POTTER** dp@cdonpotterlaw.com

Anthony J. Orshansky, Esq. Justin Kachadoorian, Esq. COUNSELONE, PC anthony@counselonegroup.com justin@counselonegroup.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs TALEENA PELAYO, et al

Attorneys for Plaintiffs NARCÍSO CHAN SOSA, et al.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct. Executed on August 15, 2023, at Los Angeles, California.

Paul T. Cullen

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

PROOF OF SERVICE

I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to the within action. My business address is 19360 Rinaldi, Box 647; Porter Ranch, CA 91326.

On August 26, 2023, I served the foregoing: NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER **GRANTING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL** on the following interested parties in this action:

r
CE OF DONALD POTTER
en Street, Suite 210
lifornia 91101
26.744.1555
6.389.0592
donpotterlaw.com
_

BY ELECTRONIC MAIL OR ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSION: Based on a court order, emergency court rules, or an agreement of the parties to accept service by e-mail or electronic transmission via CaseAnywhere, I caused the document(s) to be sent to the respective e-mail address(es) of the party(ies) as stated above via case anywhere. I did not receive, within a reasonable time after the transmission, any electronic message or other indication that the transmission was unsuccessful.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on August 26, 2023 at Los Angeles, California.

PAULT, CULLEN